

June 21, 2007

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SERVICE REGULATION
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF MONTANA

* * * * *

IN THE MATTER OF QWEST) UTILITY DIVISION
CORPORATION, Public Service)
Commission Investigation and) DOCKETNO. D2005.6.105
Direction on Use of Federal)
Universal Service Funds)

**MONTANA TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION
FIRST SET OF DATA REQUESTS
TO THE MONTANA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

MTA 001 RE: PSC Testimony
Witness: Larry Blank

With respect to your testimony generally:

- A. What is the legal basis that authorizes the Commission to redirect Qwest's universal service fund ("USF") support? Please cite specific statutory and regulatory authority.
- B. If the Commission has certified that "all federal high cost support provided to rural and non-rural carriers in Montana will be used only for the provision, maintenance, and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended, consistent with section 254 (e) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended," then doesn't a mandate to *redirect* the expenditure of such funds, if implemented by the Commission, violate the Commission's own certification that such funds will be used for universal service only, and not some other "credit" purpose? (See Certification of Support for Rural and Non-Rural High-Cost Carriers Pursuant to 47 C.F.R. Sections 54.313-314, CC Docket Nos. 96-45 and 00-256. Montana Public Service Commission. September 21, 2006.)
- C. If the Commission proposes to mandate how Qwest may or may not spend revenues, how is that different than ratemaking? How can the commission determine how revenues are to be spent without first prosecuting a general rate case?

- D. If a credit is applied to a price, shouldn't the price of the product reflect the actual pre-credit amount before the credit is applied? For example, a universal service credit is applied in Wyoming, but the price of the product shows the non-credit amount, from which a credit is applied, leaving the consumer's charge, after credit. Why don't you recommend the same process in your recommendation on behalf of the Commission?

MTA 002 RE: PSC testimony
 Witness: Larry Blank

With respect to your testimony generally:

- A. What effect would a mandatory reduction in Qwest's rates, as recommended by the Commission and if implemented, have on other carriers' wholesale or retail rates in Montana?
- B. What rates charged by other carriers in Montana "mirror" Qwest's rates in Montana? How would "mirrored" rates in Montana be affected, or not, by the Commission's recommendation, if implemented?
- C. What statutory authority does the Commission have to force other companies whose rates may mirror Qwest's to reduce or alter their rates without a specific ratemaking process?
- D. How will your "credit" recommendation, if implemented, affect existing interconnection agreements involving Qwest and other carriers in Montana which have entered into interconnection agreements with Qwest?

MTA 003 RE: PSC testimony
 Witness: Larry Blank

With respect to rate comparability, the Commission has designated both wireline and wireless competitive eligible telecommunications carriers ("CETCs") and competitive local exchange carriers ("CLECs"), serving non-rural study areas in Montana. CETCs annually certify, among other things, that they offer comparable rates under 47 U.S.C. 254.

- A. Specifically, which Qwest rates must the CETC's rates be comparable with? In what way do your recommendations for rate "credits," if implemented, affect the "comparable rates" doctrine of the Telecommunications Act as it applies to CETCs in Montana?
- B. Is your recommendation to implement rate "credits" with respect to Qwest's rates intended to confer a competitive advantage, through regulatory fiat, for Qwest *vis a vis* CETCs or other CLECs operating in Qwest's service areas?
- C. If the Commission mandates Qwest to lower its retail rates (while Qwest's wholesale rates remain unchanged as you recommend) what

Respectfully submitted this 21st day of June, 2007.

JARDINE, MORRIS & TRANEL, PLLC

By _____

Monica J. Tranel, Attorneys for
Montana Telecommunications Association
12 N. Main
P.O. Box 488
Whitehall, Montana 59759